# Contology

A Generative Framework for Mapping Reality

Atef Ayadi

May 30, 2026

# Contents

**Preface** **iii**

**Introduction** **iv**

**1 Void** **1**
- 1.1 What void is not . . . 1
- 1.2 The Engine cannot yet act . . . 1
- 1.3 The first move . . . 1

**2 Space** **3**
- 2.1 Topological space as pure position . . . 3
- 2.2 How the Engine generates C2 from C1 . . . 3
- 2.3 No sheaves yet . . . 4
- 2.4 Game at C2 . . . 4

**3 Matter** **5**
- 3.1 Definition of a sheaf . . . 5
- 3.2 Binary logic as a special case . . . 5
- 3.3 Engine from C2 to C3 . . . 6
- 3.4 Game at C3 . . . 6

**4 Cognitive Structures** **7**
- 4.1 What is cognition at C4? . . . 7
  - 4.1.1 Example: Adaptive truth . . . 7
- 4.2 Formalization: dynamic sheaf . . . 7
- 4.3 Engine from C3 to C4 . . . 8
- 4.4 Game at C4 . . . 8

**5 Networked Cognition** **9**
5.1 What is networked cognition? . . . . . 9
5.2 Emergence of consensus logic . . . . . 9
5.3 Engine from C4 to C5 . . . . . 10
5.4 Game at C5 . . . . . 10

**6 The Engine as a Meta-Contology** **11**
6.1 Formal definition . . . . . 11
6.2 Why no fixed point? . . . . . 12
6.3 Can we go beyond C5? . . . . . 12

**7 Toward a Practice of Contology** **13**
7.1 How to use this book . . . . . 13
7.2 Example: From binary logic to paraconsistent logic . . . . . 13
7.3 The role of binary . . . . . 14
7.4 The role of sheaves . . . . . 14

**Afterword** **15**

© 2026 Atef Ayadi
All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying, recording, or other electronic or mechanical methods, without the prior written permission of the author, except in the case of brief quotations embodied in critical reviews and certain other noncommercial uses permitted by copyright law.
*First published May 2026*

ISBN: 978-0-000-00000-0 (to be assigned)

*Contology: A Generative Framework for Mapping Reality*
is a work of philosophical topology.
The author asserts his moral right to be identified as the author of this work.

# Preface

This is not a static ontology. It is *contology*: a living, generative map of reality as a ladder of levels, each climbed by the simultaneous action of five drivers: **metacognition, complexity, evolution, open conditionality, reflexive generativity**.

The book is written as a game. The reader is a player. The board is contological levels C1 to C5 (and beyond, if the Engine permits). The moves are applications of the Engine.

No claim is made to final truth. Only to *workable generativity* for any territory of complexity.

# Introduction: Why Contology?

Traditional ontologies describe what there is. Topology describes closeness and continuity. Sheaves describe local-to-global consistency.

But reality *generates* new levels of organization: void becomes space, space becomes matter, matter becomes cognition, cognition becomes networked cognition.

Contology replaces description with *generation*. It answers: given a level of complexity, how does the next level arise?

# Chapter 1

# Void

**Contological Level 1.1** (C1)**.** ***Void****. No distinctions. No operations. No rules except the absence of any rule.*

## 1.1 What void is not

Void is not nothing as a concept. A concept already requires a conceiver, hence distinction. Void is pre-concept, pre-space, pre-time.

## 1.2 The Engine cannot yet act

At C1, the five drivers are dormant:

- Metacognition: nothing to observe.
- Complexity: zero.
- Evolution: no variation.
- Open conditionality: no inside/outside.
- Reflexive generativity: no self to refer to.

## 1.3 The first move

The game begins when *something* permits distinction. That something is not caused. It is the **open conditionality** of void itself: void is not even closed.

**Game 1.1** (First Distinction)**.** *From C1 (void), apply Open Conditionality: allow the possibility of a single distinction.*

Result: transition to C2.

# Chapter 2

# Space

**Contological Level 2.1** (C2)**.** ***Void*** *+* ***Space****. A set of points with a topology. No matter yet.*

## 2.1 Topological space as pure position

Let $X$ be a set. Let $\tau$ be a collection of subsets containing $\emptyset$ and $X$, closed under finite intersections and arbitrary unions. $(X, \tau)$ is a topological space.

At C2, points are distinguishable only by which open sets contain them. There is no function, no value, no property.

## 2.2 How the Engine generates C2 from C1

1. **Metacognition**: Void cannot observe itself, but the observer (you, the player) can. You say: "Void permits distinction."
2. **Complexity**: From 0 distinctions to 1 distinction (point vs. not point).
3. **Evolution**: No variation yet — only one possible space: the trivial space? No: even a single point $\{p\}$ with topology $\{\emptyset, \{p\}\}$ is already C2.
4. **Open Conditionality**: The move itself is an opening — the rule "distinctions are allowed" comes from outside C1.
5. **Reflexive Generativity**: C2 can now generate *more* distinctions (e.g., two points, discrete topology).

## 2.3 No sheaves yet

Sheaves require assignment of matter to open sets. C2 has no matter. Sheaves are forbidden here.

## 2.4 Game at C2

**Game 2.1** (Positional Play)**.** *Players alternate choosing open sets. No values are assigned. The only win condition: the collection of chosen open sets forms a basis for the topology.*

# Chapter 3

# Matter

**Contological Level 3.1** (C3)**.** ***Void*** *+* ***Space*** *+* ***Matter****. A topological space with a sheaf of values.*

## 3.1 Definition of a sheaf

Let $(X, \tau)$ be a topological space. A **sheaf** $\mathcal{F}$ of sets (or rings, or Boolean algebras) assigns:

- To each open set $U \subseteq X$, a set $\mathcal{F}(U)$ (sections over $U$).
- To each inclusion $V \subseteq U$, a restriction map $\rho_{U,V} : \mathcal{F}(U) \to \mathcal{F}(V)$.

Satisfying:

1. **Locality**: If $\{U_i\}$ covers $U$ and $s, t \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ agree on all $U_i$, then $s = t$.
2. **Gluing**: If $s_i \in \mathcal{F}(U_i)$ agree on overlaps, they glue to a unique $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$.

## 3.2 Binary logic as a special case

Take $\mathcal{F}(U) = \{\text{true}, \text{false}\}$ with restriction maps as identity. This is the constant sheaf $\{0, 1\}$. It models intuitionistic logic topologically.

Binary is *justified* by C3, not prior.

## 3.3 Engine from C2 to C3

1. **Metacognition**: C2 sees that positions alone are empty. It asks: "What if positions carried values?"
2. **Complexity**: From positions to assignments of matter.
3. **Evolution**: Different matter types compete: binary, real numbers, colors, etc.
4. **Open Conditionality**: The choice of matter set $M$ is not fixed by C2; it comes from the player's territory.
5. **Reflexive Generativity**: Once a sheaf exists, it can generate new sheaves via pullback, pushforward, etc.

## 3.4 Game at C3

**Game 3.1** (Sheaf Consistency)**.** *Two players take turns assigning values to open sets, respecting restrictions. If they reach a global section, they win. If a contradiction occurs (different values on overlapping sets that cannot be glued), they lose.*

This game is equivalent to *topological logic*.

# Chapter 4

# Cognitive Structures

**Contological Level 4.1** (C4)**.** ***Void + Space + Matter + structures with cognition (or lesser).***

## 4.1 What is cognition at C4?

Cognition = the ability to **modify the sheaf or the topology** based on internal rules, not just external constraints.

At C3, the sheaf is fixed. At C4, the sheaf can *adapt*.

### 4.1.1 Example: Adaptive truth

Start with a Boolean sheaf. If a certain open set $U$ gets value "true" for more than three consecutive rounds, the topology changes: $U$ is split into two smaller open sets.

## 4.2 Formalization: dynamic sheaf

A **dynamic sheaf** on a space $X$ is a family of sheaves $\mathcal{F}_t$ indexed by discrete time $t$, with transition functions:

$$\Phi_t : \mathcal{F}_t \to \mathcal{F}_{t+1}$$

that may also change the topology $\tau_t$ to $\tau_{t+1}$.

## 4.3 Engine from C3 to C4

1. **Metacognition**: C3 can observe its own consistency conditions. It asks: "Why must the sheaf be static?"
2. **Complexity**: Add time dimension. Add internal state.
3. **Evolution**: Transitions that improve "fit" to the territory survive.
4. **Open Conditionality**: The territory can impose new constraints mid-process.
5. **Reflexive Generativity**: The cognitive structure can redesign itself, including its own transition rules.

## 4.4 Game at C4

**Game 4.1** (Cognitive Topology)**.** *Players control a single cognitive agent. At each turn:*

1. *Observe the current sheaf state.*
2. *Choose a modification (split an open set, merge two, change matter values).*
3. *Apply the modification.*
4. *If the modified sheaf is still consistent (locally, gluing holds), keep it. Otherwise revert.*

*The player with the most* generative expansions *(new distinctions that remain consistent) wins.*

# Chapter 5

# Networked Cognition

**Contological Level 5.1** (C5)**.** ***Void + Space + Matter + cognitive structures + networked structures with cognition (or lesser).***

## 5.1 What is networked cognition?

A graph $G = (V, E)$ where each vertex $v \in V$ is a C4 system (its own space, sheaf, cognitive rules). Edges $e \in E$ allow:

- Transfer of matter assignments.
- Transfer of topology changes.
- Transfer of cognitive rules themselves.

The network as a whole can exhibit cognition not reducible to any single vertex.

## 5.2 Emergence of consensus logic

Even if each vertex uses binary matter, the network can converge to a global truth assignment through message passing. This is **distributed sheaf condition**:

$\forall$ cover $\{U_i\}$ of the network view, local sections glue to a global one if and only if the network's conse

## 5.3 Engine from C4 to C5

1. **Metacognition**: A C4 system can observe that *another* C4 system exists.
2. **Complexity**: From one agent to many agents + edges.
3. **Evolution**: Edges appear/disappear based on utility. Network topology evolves.
4. **Open Conditionality**: External signals can enter any node and propagate.
5. **Reflexive Generativity**: The network can redesign its own graph — self-modifying distributed cognition.

## 5.4 Game at C5

**Game 5.1** (Networked Contology)**.** *Each player controls a subgraph. The goal: achieve* global coherence *(a consistent sheaf on the union of all spaces) while* local autonomy *is preserved.*

*Moves:*

1. *Send a message (matter value, rule, topology patch) along an edge.*
2. *Accept or reject based on local cognition.*
3. *Merge two nodes if their sheaves are compatible.*
4. *Split a node if internal conflict arises.*

*The game ends when a fixed point is reached: no further changes for $k$ turns.*

# Chapter 6

# The Engine as a Meta-Contology

The five drivers are not external to contology. They are **the method by which any $C_n$ generates $C_{n+1}$.**

## 6.1 Formal definition

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the category of contological levels, with morphisms $C_n \to C_{n+1}$ given by the Engine:

$$\boxed{C_{n+1} = \mathcal{E}(C_n)}$$

where

$$\mathcal{E} = (\mathrm{Mc}, \mathrm{Cx}, \mathrm{Ev}, \mathrm{Oc}, \mathrm{Rg})$$

with:

- $\mathrm{Mc}(C_n)$ = the set of metacognitive observations possible at $C_n$
- $\mathrm{Cx}(C_n)$ = one new distinction not previously available
- $\mathrm{Ev}(C_n)$ = variation + selection on $C_n$'s rules
- $\mathrm{Oc}(C_n)$ = an opening to a previously excluded condition
- $\mathrm{Rg}(C_n)$ = the result of applying the previous four as a new starting point

## 6.2 Why no fixed point?

If $C_{n+1} = \mathcal{E}(C_n)$ and $\mathcal{E}$ always adds at least one new distinction, then $C_n \neq C_{n+1}$. The ladder is open-ended.

## 6.3 Can we go beyond C5?

Yes. C6 would be *networks of networked cognition* — meta-networks. C7, hypergraphs, etc. The Engine never stops unless reality stops generating.

# Chapter 7

# Toward a Practice of Contology

## 7.1 How to use this book

Do not read it as a static reference. Play it.

1. Identify your current territory's complexity level (C1–C5).
2. Apply the Engine explicitly: metacognition, complexity, evolution, open conditionality, reflexive generativity.
3. Observe the next level emerging.
4. Document the transition as a *contological move*.

## 7.2 Example: From binary logic to paraconsistent logic

Start: C3 with Boolean sheaf (binary).

- **Metacognition**: The sheaf fails when contradictions appear — gluing fails.
- **Complexity**: Allow open sets to carry multiple truth values.
- **Evolution**: Paraconsistent sheaf (values in a lattice) survives contradictions.
- **Open Conditionality**: Introduce an external requirement: "dialetheias are real."

- **Reflexive Generativity**: The new sheaf generates its own notion of consistency.

Result: C4 cognitive structure (paraconsistent logic).

## 7.3 The role of binary

Binary is not foundational. Binary is a *special attractor* of the Engine at C3 under low complexity and closed conditions. It is useful, not absolute.

## 7.4 The role of sheaves

Sheaves are the correct language for C3. They become *dynamic sheaves* at C4, and *distributed sheaves* at C5. At C6, they may become something else.

# Afterword: The Dance

> The highest function of thought is not to describe the world, but to engage with it in a dance so intimate that the dancer and the dance become one, and learning is the music they move to.

Contology is that dance. The five drivers are the steps. The contological levels are the floor. The music is reality's own generativity.

You asked for a workable topological framework. I gave you instead a *generative engine* that makes topology, sheaves, and binary logic appear exactly when needed, and evolve when not.

Now the game is yours.

# Acknowledgments

To the void, for permitting the first distinction.

To the player behind me with all their support.